Revenue Criteria Matrix					Appendix 6			
Funding Criteria Questions	Policing to tackle graffiti (£10,000)	Senior Neighb -ourhood Warden (£1,550)	Allotment Fund (£3,000)	Kirkstall Valley Feasibility Fund (£5,000)	External Valuation of Headingley Primary School (£3,000)	Space@ Little London – Project Manager (£6,800)	Older People's Action in the Locality (£2,000 for 3 years)	North West Leeds Locality Network (£2,759)
a)Does the project contribute to the main themes of the Area Delivery Plan	5	5	3	5	5	3	3	3
b) Will the outcomes of the project contribute towards the Council's Corporate aims?	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	5
c) Will the project bring additional benefits/ Services to the area?	5	5	1	5	1	1	3	3
d) Does the project meet an identified community need?	5	5	3	5	3	3	3	3
e)Does the project benefit the whole, BME or deprived communities?	5	5	1	3	3	3	1	1
f) Is the project sustainable?	1	3	1	5	5	3	1	1
g) Have clear targets outcomes been set?	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	3
h) Does the project demonstrate value for money?	3	5	3	3	3	3	3	3
i)Does the project meet an identified gap in project delivery, not already filled in this year's allocation?	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
j) What are the risks involved with project delivery, are they kept to a minimum?	5	5	5	3	3	1	5	5
TOTAL	42	46	24	42	34	24	32	30
Scope for a lesser amount?	Yes	No	Yes	No	Possible	Yes	No	No
Implication of a lesser amount	Reduced number of hours available for the operation	Creation of a senior post not possible	Reduced number of schemes able to be funded	Meaningful feasibility would not be possible with a reduced amount	Exact cost is in direct relation to the valuation made, could be between £1,500 and £3,000. Need to ensure the top amount could be covered	A reduced amount would assist with the salary gap. Other funding would have to be found.	Need to meet this amount in order to deliver the service	Need to meet this amount in order to deliver the service